



MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF
BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS

MACQUARIE
UNIVERSITY



FACULTY OF
BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS

Risk and return of illiquid investments

Risk and return of illiquid investments

James Cummings and
Katrina Ellis

Introduction

- This research
 - Extent superannuation funds invest in illiquid asset classes
 - Characteristics that influence these decisions
 - Performance impacts

Introduction

- Debate on illiquid allocations
 - Yale endowment investment strategy
- Shift to defined contribution pension funds
 - Emphasis on liquidity
- Greater reliance on asset realisation for meeting obligations
 - Industry-wide outflows projected to increase
 - ‘Baby-boomer’ generation retiring

Trade-off of liquidity and returns

- Diversification benefits of illiquid assets
 - Real estate 10-15% (Ennis et al, 1991), private equity 2-9% (Chen et al, 2002)
- Liquidity drawbacks of illiquid assets
 - Execution delays: market depth, due diligence
- Superannuation funds
 - Payment obligations to members
 - Benefit payments, transfers, switching between options, rebalancing, hedging

Data and sample

- 152 large superannuation funds
 - September 2004 to June 2010
 - Fund flows, earnings, expenses, net assets, age of members, asset allocation from APRA
- Trading volume and market cap. for asset classes
 - Used to measure portfolio liquidity

Data and sample

Data sources for trading volume and market capitalisation

Asset class	Representation	Source
Cash	Bank-accepted bills, certificates of deposit	AFMA
Fixed income	Government and non-government debt securities	AFMA
Australian shares	S&P ASX 200 Index constituent stocks	Bloomberg
International shares	MSCI World ex-Australia Index and MSCI Emerging Markets Index constituent stocks	Bloomberg
Unlisted property	Units in unlisted property trusts	ABS
Other investments	Units in unlisted equity trusts	ABS

Liquidity measures

- Measure 1

- Illiquid investments

$$LLI = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^6 w_i} (w_5 + w_6)$$

- w_i = dollar value of asset class i
 - Unlisted property ($i=5$)
 - Other investments ($i=6$)

- Measure 2

- Average holding period

$$AHP = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^6 w_i} \sum_{i=1}^6 w_i \text{ HoldingPeriod}_i$$

- HoldingPeriod_i = average market cap. / average daily dollar trading volume

Role of trustees and members

- Default strategy
 - When the members provide no direction
 - Trustee solely responsible for the allocation
- Whole-of-fund
 - Trustee decides what choice to offer, members permitted to give directions
 - Both trustees and members influence the allocation

Descriptive statistics

Data item	Illiquid investments tertile			Total sample
	1 (low)	2	3 (high)	
Number of funds	47	47	47	141
Net assets \$mil	3,894	2,636	3,223	3,248
Preservation age %	35.2	27.4	23.4	28.2
Internally managed %	1.8	4.6	8.5	4.9
Fund flow %	5.8	5.3	6.7	6.0
Default strategy				
LLI %	6.1	14.5	21.3	13.5
AHP days	356	511	615	486
Whole-of-fund				
LLI %	1.7	9.4	21.6	10.8
AHP days	341	417	543	432

Liquidity and fund characteristics

- Panel regression:

$$\begin{aligned} LIQHOLDING_{i,t} = & \alpha_t + \beta_1 \times LOGSIZE_{i,t} + \beta_2 \times PRSAGE_{i,t} \\ & + \beta_3 \times RETAIL_i + \beta_4 \times INTMAN_{i,t} \\ & + \beta_5 \times FLOW_{i,t} + \beta_6 \times VFLOW_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \end{aligned}$$

- $LIQHOLDING_{i,t}$ = liquidity measure (*LLI* or *AHP*)
- $LOGSIZE_{i,t}$ = log net assets - log 200 million
- $PRSAGE_{i,t}$ = proportion of members aged 50 years and over
- $RETAIL_i$ = dummy variable which equals one for a retail fund
- $INTMAN_{i,t}$ = percentage of fund assets managed internally
- $FLOW_{i,t}$ = average percentage new fund flow
- $VFLOW_{i,t}$ = standard deviation of percentage new fund flow

Liquidity and fund characteristics

Independent variables	Dependent variable			
	Default strategy		Whole-of-fund	
	LLI _{dis}	AHP _{dis}	LLI _{wof}	AHP _{wof}
Log size	2.032 ** (7.81)	32.020 ** (4.89)	1.804 ** (4.02)	23.116 ** (3.79)
Preservation age	-0.135 ** (-6.11)	-3.318 ** (-5.81)	-0.105 ** (-3.16)	-1.599 ** (-3.47)
Retail	-10.020 ** (-13.68)	-227.696 ** (-12.65)	-6.041 ** (-4.50)	-125.633 ** (-7.78)
Internally managed	0.118 ** (2.63)	2.328 ** (2.12)	0.076 (1.49)	1.088 (1.35)
Fund flow	0.537 ** (3.58)	5.419 (1.54)	0.247 (0.69)	4.505 (1.05)
Volatility of fund flow	-0.168 (-1.04)	-0.696 (-0.23)	-0.274 (-1.05)	-4.619 (-1.46)
Adj. R^2	0.34	0.45	0.19	0.31
Observations	805	805	280	280

Numbers in parentheses are t -statistics. ** indicates significance at the 5% level.

Trustee and member decisions

Comparison of asset allocations between the default strategy and member-selected strategies

<u>Investment option type</u>	<u>Cash and fixed income</u>	<u>Australian and int'l shares</u>	<u>Unlisted property and other investments</u>
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
Default strategy (1)	29.8	58.7	11.5
Member-selected strategies (2)	29.6	56.5	13.9
Difference (2-1)	-0.2	-2.2	2.4 **
	(-0.14)	(-1.37)	(2.33)
Observations	279	279	279

Numbers in parentheses are *t*-statistics. ** indicates significance at the 5% level.

Performance impacts

- Loadings of each fund using 3 and 5-factor models:

$$r_{i,t} - r_{f,t} = \alpha_i + \beta_{i,1} \times (FI_t - r_{f,t}) + \beta_{i,2} \times (AS_t - r_{f,t}) + \beta_{i,3} \times (IS_t - r_{f,t}) + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

$$r_{i,t} - r_{f,t} = \alpha_i + \beta_{i,1} \times (FI_t - r_{f,t}) + \beta_{i,2} \times (AS_t - r_{f,t}) + \beta_{i,3} \times (IS_t - r_{f,t}) \\ + \beta_{i,4} \times (UP_t - r_{f,t}) + \beta_{i,5} \times (OI_t - r_{f,t}) + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

- $r_{i,t}$ = net fund return
 - $r_{f,t}$ = 90-day bank bill interest rate
 - FI_t = return on Citigroup Australian Broad Investment-Grade Bond Index
 - AS_t = return on S&P ASX 200 Accumulation Index
 - IS_t = return on MSCI Total Return Net World ex-Australia Index
 - UP_t = return on Mercer/IPD Australian Pooled Property Fund Index
 - OI_t = return on Cambridge Associates Australia Private Equity and Venture Capital Index
- Risk-adjusted return
 - Difference between realised fund return and expected fund return

Performance impacts

- Panel regression:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{i,t} = & \delta_t + \gamma_1 \times LIQHOLDING_{i,t-1} + \gamma_2 \times LOGSIZE_{i,t-1} \\ & + \gamma_3 \times RETAIL_i + \gamma_4 \times FNDAGE_{i,t-1} \\ & + \gamma_5 \times FLOW_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{i,t}\end{aligned}$$

- $\alpha_{i,t}$ = risk-adjusted net fund return
- $LIQHOLDING_{i,t-1}$ = liquidity measure (LLI_{wof} or AHP_{wof})
- $LOGSIZE_{i,t-1}$ = log net assets - log 200 million
- $RETAIL_i$ = dummy variable which equals one for a retail fund
- $FNDAGE_{i,t-1}$ = log of fund age
- $FLOW_{i,t-1}$ = percentage new fund flow in the previous quarter

Performance impacts

Independent variables	Dependent variable			
	3-factor α		5-factor α	
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
LLI _{wof}	-0.0013 (-0.18)		-0.0068 (-1.70)	
AHP _{wof}		0.0003 (0.98)		-0.0002 (-0.64)
Log size	0.0321 (1.91)	0.0225 (1.05)	0.0198 (1.10)	0.0130 (0.69)
Retail	-0.3330 ** (-3.37)	-0.2580 ** (-1.97)	-0.2967 ** (-3.28)	-0.2590 ** (-2.54)
Log fund age	0.0259 (0.61)	0.0339 (0.75)	0.0168 (0.34)	0.0308 (0.61)
Lagged fund flow	-0.0080 ** (-2.55)	-0.0086 ** (-2.66)	-0.0076 ** (-3.30)	-0.0078 ** (-3.36)
Adj. R^2	0.30	0.30	0.17	0.17
Observations	3,328	3,328	3,328	3,328

Numbers in parentheses are t -statistics. ** indicates significance at the 5% level.

Conclusion

- Broad cross-section of investment in illiquid assets
 - Fund returns generally commensurate with the non-diversifiable risk contributed by these investments
- Drivers of investment in illiquid assets
 - Fund size, fund flows, internal management, member age
- Retail funds have lower allocations
 - Aggregation of the choices of individual members
 - More comfortable with liquid asset classes

Feedback

- Welcome comments from industry practitioners and academics
- Paper posted on SSRN:
 - <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1962971>



MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF
BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS

be.mq.edu.au

